

FALL 2009



RESISTANCE

DEFENDING LIBERTY FROM WITHIN



Liberate te ex inferis



INDEPENDENT COUNTERTERRORIST

THE INDEPENDENT COUNTERTERRORIST: RESISTANCE

By R.J. Godlewski/INERT

Copyright © September, 2009 R. J. Godlewski

Cover Art © andré - (Top Center); Aleksndr Login - (Left); nyul - (Right); Dimitar Marinov - (Bottom Right) - Fotolia.com; R.J. Godlewski (Bottom Left)

Cover Latin: *Liberate te ex inferis* – “Save yourself from hell”

All Rights Reserved

No part of this document may be used or reproduced in any manner whatsoever without written permission, except in the case of brief quotations embodied in critical articles or reviews.

Neither the author nor the publisher assumes any responsibility for the use or misuse of information contained in this document.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Author's Notes	4
Introduction	7
Challenge your government.	7
Challenge our enemies.	9
Resisting Anarchy and Tyranny	10
Defining our enemy.	10
Defining liberty.	11
Defining Tyranny and Anarchy.	12
Resistance 101.	13
Situation Report: 2009	15
Baby steps of resistance.	16
Determined resistance.	18
Suspicion management.	22
Fighting for Our Nation's Future	24
Standing firm.	24
Taking action.	25
About the author.	27
Notes	27

Author's Notes

I love America. Make no bones about it. I love this great nation of ours because it was founded upon the liberties and rights of the individual. It makes very little difference *who* we are, for as long as we show some initiative, some resilience, and a substantial amount of respect for our fellow citizens, we have a chance to succeed in life beyond our wildest dreams. Notice that I said, "We have a *chance*" at success, not that it was guaranteed. Nothing is guaranteed within life, except perhaps death and we shall cover that a bit later on in this training program.

When you get right down to it, who in their right mind would want *anything* guaranteed beyond a reasonable doubt? What joy would there be within accomplishment that went beyond expected, but demanded? Would we enjoy watching the Super Bowl if it had thirty-two victors declared even before the first snap of the season? What of Heaven? Would it be paradise if there were no rules towards gaining entry? If, as Professor Peter Kreeft suggests in his influential book, *Everything you ever wanted to know about Heaven but never dreamed of asking*, it were to be considered "awful" for everyone to be equal in Heaven with "no heroes" or anyone "to look up to", why then must we grant equality to the actions and thoughts of others here on earth?¹

Life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness means that we can *choose* to remain on the couch all of our life stuffing our faces with nachos and burritos. However, it also demands that we *accept* the consequences of our actions. If we want to develop into some bloated, pathetic monster, fine, but our pursuit of these liberties cannot intrude upon either the rights or the actions of others. In other words, don't *expect* others to fork out their hard-earned money to pay for your medical expenses when the firefighters have to cut through your wall to haul your ass to the hospital. That is not what America is all about. Nor can we always expect some absolution from things that are *not* our fault.

After years of working aboard ships and driving tractor-trailers across two countries, my knees are simply blown out. In fact, the constant, nagging pain in my shins often keeps me awake at night. At least my kidney stone was a one-day event; this pain never ceases a bit. Am I, therefore, entitled to some consideration? No way. If I want to play adult baseball, for instance, I have to endure the pain even though it severely hampers my beloved position of catcher. If I should find myself within the field, struggling to keep pace with much younger soldiers, hunters, or scientists, I just have to suck it up and do my best to climb the mountain, burrow through the jungle, or wait out

the sandstorm. What makes America great is that I can be there experiencing these hardships in the first place.

If we allow our great nation to continue down its path towards Big Government Socialism, *none of us* will be able to consider any of these activities. We would run the risk of bureaucracy deciding that we are too old, too weak, or perhaps too ugly to climb mountains, explore jungles, or throw out people trying to steal second base. Already the federal government has long since decided, quite arbitrarily, I imagine, that applicants over thirty-five years of age cannot aspire to become federal agents. This is age discrimination, in my opinion.

Once the federal government begins setting standards, at some point these standards will come back to haunt us if only individually. The progressive liberals are just salivating over these opportunities. They preach legalization of drugs but use the drug war down in Mexico, for instance, as a tool to effect changes in gun laws. Listen, I love guns more than probably anyone else does but that does not mean that I am a drug lord from Tijuana. Yet, the progressives view me as such simply because they want to deny Americans the right to own firearms. Why? Would my ownership of *any* firearm, even a laser-sighted, night vision-equipped submachine gun place anyone else into harm's way unless they were directly threatening my family, my friends, my country, or me? Of course not, but the progressive liberals do not believe that I should be innocent until proven guilty through some infraction of the law. They simply want to impose legislation that removes any opportunity for me to argue a point counter to their own expectations. They are elitists who believe in tyranny.

So here, we are, discussing a monograph that bridges the gap between my two previous eBooks, *Integrated Technical Warfare* and *Mini-Manual of the Independent Counterterrorist*, and past formats of the *Independent Counterterrorist* training modules. The subject matter discussed herein is probably the most important that we shall ever discuss within this entire training program.

It is very unlikely that you will ever be touched directly by a terrorist attack. There is a better chance that you will become the victim of crime, but even that is relatively remote. A medical crisis or emergency? Probably once or twice within the average lifespan. However, *all* of us, regardless from which country we hail are affected on a daily basis by government bureaucracies. The stronger the government, the more that it affects our every thought and action. You know what they say about absolute power.

Independent Counterterrorist: Resistance is about resisting government intrusion into our lives. No, it is *not* about forming guerrilla bands to overthrow any government a la some Third World revolution. Our revolution happened in 1776, an

event that signaled to the entire planet that governments were of the people, by the people, and for the people. Anything less than this is complete tyranny.

Government is the most humble of institutions because it holds within its bosom the individual rights and liberties of its citizenry. The more slanted it becomes, the less effective it reigns. The United States of America was created specifically to be equally distant from both anarchy and tyranny, but its existence still pivoted upon the rights of man.

I do not advocate violence. I never have. This entire training program is about personal *responsibility*. Regardless, we have a fundamental – and grave – duty to uphold and protect the Constitution of the United States of America as our founding fathers envisioned it. Government is intended to protect these rights and liberties. Any government that owns auto companies, banks, etc. is against American principles. Any government that seeks to mandate equality of thought and action rather than equality of existence is against American policies. *Any* commander-in-chief who styles his power beyond that outlined within the Constitution, by letter of the law or spirit thereof, is committing a grave injustice against the very people he or she serves humbly.

Resistance, therefore, is not principally destructive. Nor is it always treasonous. Sometimes, it is quite patriotic to shout “Whoa, Mr. President. You work for *us!*” Arrogance is a far worse characteristic of a presidency than it would be for a tyranny. At least the tyrant is honest about effecting absolute rule.

Unlike in the past, there are no “homework” assignments affixed to this document. It in itself is a bit lengthier than previous training modules as we progress into more of a “stand alone” format. Your assignment after devouring the information contained herein is simply to stand up for your individual rights and liberties. Permit no elected official, no media organization, no teacher, to decide what is best for you. Permit no throne, no tyrant, to ever decide that they are somehow more powerful than God who has given you these inalienable rights to exercise.

Trust in God, trust in your own power, and express no fear...

R.J. Godlewski
September, 2009
Michigan

Introduction

Challenge your government.

We have arrived at a fundamental crossroad within our nation's history. One avenue leads to a breathtaking cul-de-sac of individualism, patriotism, and concern for all. The other route is a loop of death and destruction. Of pain, misery, and endless poverty. Its name is socialism. By definition:

so·cial·ism (*soh-sh'l-izm*) *n.* A theory of social organization aiming at a more [equitable] distribution of income through a regulated system of community or government ownership of land and the means of production and distribution. (The Grosset Webster Dictionary, 1966)

Consider this definition for a moment, and how it relates to America in 2009. During the 2008 campaign, then candidate Barack Obama went on camera saying that he wanted to “spread the wealth”.² By summer, the federal government had owned both General Motors and Chrysler and the president, a self-avowed community organizer, had surrounded himself with thirty-four, unelected, un-confirmed “czars”. Consider again the definition of socialism.

Now read the following statement:

“The old-fashioned Third World strongman types actually exercise far less individual control over the average citizen than does the government of the United States”³

Those words were written back in the early 1980's. How much more does government influence your life today with the addition of environmentalism, bailouts, stimulus packages, and, soon, government healthcare? It gets worse. Consider the next statement:

“Only in a true totalitarian state where the population is socially, economically, and politically regimented – as well as militarily – can total repression work.”⁴

The only piece of the pie thus not currently controlled by the Obama Administration and Congress is, ironically, the “military” – and therein we have our president’s ambitions for a civilian national security force as powerful and well funded as the current military. Do the math, folks. The progressive liberals are the ones who continually divide our nation into elements involving race, wealth, and health. They have overwhelmingly regimented politics through ridicule of the Tea Parties, Sarah Palin, and now those opposing nationalized healthcare. Through the aforementioned bailouts and stimuli, they undoubtedly control a vast inventory of American capitalist enterprise. You decide. Socialism? Or free market, competitive enterprise devoid of government intrusion?

Perhaps the following quote will aid within your decision:

“A wise and frugal government, which shall leave men free to regulate their own pursuits of industry and improvement, and shall not take from the mouth of labor the bread it has earned - this is the sum of good government.” **Thomas Jefferson**

Where, in the above quote, does it say that “good government” can override free men and take their fruits of labor? Conversely, if a government does not listen to Thomas Jefferson – the father of the Bill of Rights – then is it *bad* government? I cannot answer that for you for it would be somewhat hypocritical of me to author an entire training program geared towards independence and yet tell you what to think. Too many politicians are already doing that and I, for one, am not a politician.

I merely offer my own beliefs for comparison. I believe, among other thoughts, that:

1. America is the best nation on the planet in which to live and was founded upon certain inalienable rights granted to us by our Creator;
2. The U.S. Constitution is *the* law of the land and as such is not somehow evolving or subject to personal interpretation;
3. The individual reigns supreme and it makes no difference whether we are male or female; black or white; of Asian or European descent; young or old; legal immigrant or natural born; we are all blessed with the same responsibilities and opportunities based solely upon effort, resilience, and luck;
4. The three greatest rights in America are: the right to speak freely, the right to worship freely, and the right to defend oneself freely;
5. America is a free market, capitalistic enterprise where the private and individual ownership of businesses, organizations, and properties is a God-given right and

profits from such enterprise cannot be confiscated by government to redistribute to those whose self-interest negates productivity;

6. Our leaders are fundamentally bound to serve our best interests. They are elected by due process and serve to uphold and not interpret our laws. Should *any* leader of this nation fail to uphold the Constitution, then it is up to the citizenry to remove these leaders from office.

Because I am an American, I grant you every right to agree with my thoughts and beliefs or not. If you do not agree with me, then I will not kick down your doors to sway your opinion. I will not call you names or harm your families. I will not employ thugs to act on my behalf in any aggressive or violent manner. You are free to embark upon your life as you see fit. Just do not screw with the Constitution.

Challenge our enemies.

Every oath of merit within the United States has, in some manner or another, words that demand that the individual taking the oath defend the Constitution against all enemies, both foreign and domestic. My past military oath, which I took twice – once for active duty Navy and once for reenlistment within the Reserves –, contained just such words. What this means is that the Constitution, obviously, is being threatened from all quarters. Its enemies are not necessarily enemy soldiers dressed in flashy uniforms.

The fact that our enemies are not always easy to see hampers our ability to defend our nation and its Constitution. Sometimes, even, our enemies are those who have been specifically charged with defending our Constitution. These are called traitors. More prevalent, however, are those who misunderstand the Constitution. They see it as most see the Bible: it is vague, outdated, and subject to a multitude of interpretations. Being that it is the law of the land never seems to occur to them.

The U.S. Constitution is neither vague nor outdated. Arguably, it is the newest of history's attempts to return to an individual's rights long since abandoned as man progressed through pharaohs, emperors, and monarchies. Its intent is quite clear: no one element of government is dominant over the others and citizens have the right to speak their mind, worship their God, defend their lives, and command their leaders. Heavy stuff, really.

That there are those who do not share these sentiments is a given. As to *what* to do with these thugs, well, that's the context of this entire training program. We simply

fight them. We chase them down and eliminate them as our threats. Then we go back to being free men living lives of peace, prosperity, and preoccupation.

Resisting Anarchy and Tyranny

Defining our enemy.

It is quite easy to understand Islamic radicals as our enemies. After all, they perpetrated thousands of heinous attacks against our nation since 1979. Three thousand died from their attacks on September 11, 2001 alone. Regardless of the obvious, however, many people somehow believe that America attacked itself on 9/11. That most of these ill-informed, misguided souls live within Europe and the Middle East is understandable, but that many actually reside within the United States is unconscionable.

Such people are gullible, to say the least, and jump to unnecessary conclusions based upon preconceived notions or “popular” patterns of thought. They express such outrageous notions as fire cannot melt steel (I once toured the foundry where my father worked. Trust me, fire melts plenty of steel). The more inconceivable the story, the easier it is for some to consider it. Analyzing human thoughts, however, is not exactly what this monograph is all about and I have written a professional article on this subject for an upcoming issue of *American Intelligence Journal* (slated for Fall 2009) if you are interested.

For illustrative purposes, let us singularly consider the subject of the “[Jacob Photographs](#)” of September 16, 2007 purporting the existence of Bigfoot in Pennsylvania. I looked at the photographs, analyzed them a bit through my various software programs, and concluded that they were probably of a rather sickly bear. What sparked my interest was that while viewing the original images I happened to notice my dog bend down and chew at his right hind leg. Being that bears are not entirely dissimilar from canines, I reasoned that the vaguely definable image show the exact same thing – a (nearly hairless) bear chewing on his leg.

When I wrote a brief [commentary](#) on the subject, I incurred the wrath of the “true believers” in undiscovered, large primates living amongst urban America (check out the sites, Bigfoot has been sighted in places not exactly remote in nature). Listen, I would absolutely love it if someone could produce bona fide evidence – namely, a living or deceased specimen – that this animal truly exists. Imagine the doors of opportunity that

this would provide both the scientific and commercial world. Regardless, I am not holding my breath on the matter. As far as I am concerned, there exist no great apes here in the United States. At least not any indigenous, living ones.

Our enemies are just as determined and uncompromising. Whether they believe that Allah wants them to die killing Americans or the Bush Administration orchestrated 9/11 as a pretext for invading the Middle East, no amount of rational thought will ever convince them otherwise. The same holds true for those who believe that government mandated social programs work and any challenge against their blind devotion will result in terminal ridicule – applied against *you*.

This is how some people operate; if you disagree with them, they will assault you at every opportunity. It does not matter if, like within the aforementioned Bigfoot debate, you had scrutinized their evidence, matched it against personal observations and then formulated your own opinion. If you do not agree with their expectations, then you are permanently branded a non-believer. In other words, an “infidel” – an enemy of the ‘Cause’ whatever that cause may be.

There is no difference between; say; the Bigfoot crowd and those who believe in nationalized healthcare, other than, perhaps, the Great Ape crowds are not out to destroy America as we know it. Like mythical beasts, the proponents of nationalized *anything* seem to believe that although the federal government has botched every program it has ever managed, somehow *this time* it will triumph.

Our enemies are therefore easy to define. Regardless of from which location they hail or reside, they are out to destroy the U.S. Constitution and the inalienable rights that God has granted to every man, woman, and child living upon this planet. They want to use military, political, economic, educational, and psychological assets to alter what you think, how you behave, what you buy, and how you live. Towards this end, they continually try to segregate you into vulnerable groups based upon sex, race, ethnicity, education, wealth, religion, and association. In other words, they try to define you by “What” you are and not by “Whom” you are. This has to change.

Defining liberty.

Maximo Cuenca: [*a poor student dying in his teacher's arms after heroic action*] Miss Barnes, I'm sorry I never learned how to spell "liberty". [*dies*]

Bertha Barnes: [*tearfully*] No one ever learned it so well. (*Back to Bataan, ©1945*)

It is easy to forget the true meaning of some words after decades of not applying them properly. Liberty is just one of those words. It is more than a definition, however; it is a price. A price paid so that we can live according to our efforts, our thoughts, our desires, and our limitations. To better illustrate this, let us move away from semantics.

How would you answer the following questions?

- Do you believe that individuals should live accordingly to their beliefs?
- Do you believe that individuals should be able to defend themselves against danger?
- Do you believe that success is based upon effort, experience, ability, and a bit of luck?
- Do you believe that it is wrong for others to tell you how to live your life?
- Do you feel that bureaucracies create more problems than solutions?
- Are you better prepared to understand your needs than a stranger?

If you answer 'yes' to the above, then you certainly believe in liberty as I – or most everyone else – would define it. Our founding fathers answered the same way, which is why they told King George to go mate with himself.

When we meet our Maker after our death, God will undoubtedly ask of us, "What did *you* do with what I have given to you?" There will be hell to pay for those who reply, "Well, you see there Old Man. I should be admitted to Paradise because I listened to my government and my government knew best..." Does our government know *best*? Does *any* government know best?

Defining Tyranny and Anarchy.

Two things go hand in hand – those who believe in absolute power and those who believe in non-existent government. It's all part of the same coin that makes the rest of us living upon the edge prefer that the world stand up upon its end. Again, let us consider a couple of very brief definitions before we focus upon practical language:⁵

Tyranny: 1. Government by a tyrant. 2. Arbitrary exercise of power;

Anarchy: 1. A state having no having no law or government. 2. Chaos; terrorism.

Most of us would concur that a tyrant is one who wants to impose their will upon others. Ahmadinejad, the mullahs of Iran, Hugo Chavez, Kim Jong Il, and others come immediately to mind. Equally, most of us would define anarchy as “everything goes”. Herein, Somalia and shoppers at your neighborhood “going out of business” sale⁶ come into perspective.

All rationally minded individuals thus conclude that neither tyranny nor anarchy is good for the soul. None of us wants a leader who does not pay attention to our thoughts and beliefs and few of us want a society where laws are non-existent. Americans, over the relatively short course of our history, have experienced both. We broke away from George III's England because the monarch imposed heavy taxes, siphoned away our private businesses, and had his henchmen enter our homes without notice.

During the Wild West days, when neither God nor the law existed beyond the Pecos, the lawless intermingled with the civilized. It was in just such an environment that permitted Americans to invent the concept of “Defense without retreat.” Until then, most followed British law that said an individual must be literally against a wall before self-defense was permissible. Sometimes, one just cannot stop to ask questions before shooting.

Our nation has also experienced its fair share of riots and herein the worst of us come out for the entire world to see. I was living too close for comfort (~ 13 miles) when the '67 Detroit riots occurred and though I was too young to understand that mess, I was not so young as to not remember anything about the crisis today. The Tigers' World Series championship the following year is a far better memory to consider.

Anyone who has ever seen an urban riot – even from the “comfort” of television – understands the sheer terror and destruction created by those tiny microcosms of anarchy. Just imagine what would happen if you faced an entire country going down in flames. Would you – we – be able to withstand such a catastrophe? How would our federal government react – specifically towards us – should the unthinkable happen?

Resistance 101.

There can be no greater nightmare for any patriotic American to consider than the thought that, somehow, our entire nation may descent into absolute chaos. The fundamental concept of the *Independent Counterterrorist* training program, however, is envisioning all possibilities no matter how inconceivable. This module – *Resistance* – is

about that potentiality – that life as we know it here within the United States may come crashing down upon us.

Resistance, in this case, is one part that experienced by oppressed peoples worldwide and three parts opposition to chaos and mob rule. You are *not* therefore reading this module because you want to form some underground band of thugs out to destroy our government. You are reading it because you want to defeat the kind of anarchy and tyranny that the enemies of the United States want to impose upon this great land of ours.

That your intent is entirely admirable is one thing, however. That your duty may confront you with unimaginable thoughts and treasonous citizens is another matter altogether. Few Americans – save for, perhaps, those brave souls from the “Greatest Generation” consider the possibility that our greatest enemies may exist from within our leadership as well as from within secretive fifth columnist groups operating within your midst. Quislings did not cease with their World War Two Norwegian namesake. They exist within every culture, within every organization, and throughout the course of human history.

Fortunately, so, too, do patriots:

“Patriotism is supporting your country all the time, and your government when it deserves it.” **Mark Twain**

Yes, indeed, Mark Twain had it very correct. We are Americans *all* of the time. We are Democrats or Republicans or Libertarians when our respective parties warrant our allegiance. No single leader, no single institution, no single issue is more important than the survival and success of the United States of America. This fundamental concept of human evolution extends to other nations as well, so do not consider yourself left out if you are not living within America. There is an absolute evil souring the peace and security of the planet. It has manifested itself in several political callings, amongst them Islamic fundamentalism, progressive liberalism, unionized socialism, and educational idealism, among other entities.

These are the influences that you need to resist against. These are the powers that seek to chip away at the Constitution until independent thought, religious freedom, and capital enterprise are finally extinguished and humanity is subjugated to the vices and commands of elitism. Forget Biblical Armageddon; our beast is not Nero, but merely the latest in a long line of opportunistic politicians whose arrogance prevents them from serving us and instead seek absolute power beyond anything else. This is how political perversion works – our enemies seek to dupe us into believing the fake instead of the

actual. These are the forces that we need to resist. These are the enemies of the Constitution that we need to defeat. Are you ready for the challenge?

Situation Report: 2009

Seeking a change from a stagnated political situation in Washington, the American people elected a young Illinois senator who promised “hope” and “change”. What emerged, however, was a self-centered, arrogant amateur who quickly proceeded to spend more money than all other American presidents in history combined, confiscated the ownership of two of America’s largest auto manufacturers, and embarked upon a global tour highlighting our nation’s alleged atrocities and making peace with those who wish to destroy our very culture.

“Disunion by force is treason.” [Andrew Jackson](#)

“That government is best which governs least.” [Thomas Paine](#)

Is there a rational mind who can conceive of the value of a president who surrounds himself with suspicious advisors who are not answerable to the American people and not vetted by our chosen leaders? Had not the world, quite long ago, learned about the evils of a leader who empowered the “homeless” and “unemployed” as thugs, “*flattered the middle class that it was the real national class*” and “*with the suppleness of an actor... flattered those on the Left*”?⁷

Would not anyone consider a national leader who surrounded himself secretly with disreputable agents suspicious by mere association? If these advisors thought that past presidents were guilty of genocide against their own constituency; that forced abortions were permissible, even laudable; that hunting should be outlawed; and that animals should be permitted to sue humans within a court of law; would any sane person not seek to toss these traitors into the sea with anchors tied around their heads?

Fittingly, as I write these very words, I hear that one of President Obama’s “czars” (Van Jones) has resigned – after midnight, on an extended holiday weekend no less. Too little, too late in my book but it does highlight the power of peaceful resistance from an informed public. One down, thirty-three to go... This situation exists within America today. Our president seeks to bankrupt and socialize our nation under a mountain of debt that will take decades to pay off. Our economy is in a shambles due to the intervention of the present and last administration. Now, they seek to establish

government-run healthcare in order to control another 15% of our much-stressed economy. Congress and the Presidency have simply become too bloated for our good.

Baby steps of resistance.

One must not ever confuse resistance with revolution; dissolution over unity. The vast majority of the United States rests within the center-right political arena and very few can stomach the courage and dedication needed to confront well-funded, well-organized, and often belligerent adversaries. Yet, what is right cannot be fabricated.

“Consider it all joy, my brothers, when you encounter various trials, for you know that the testing of your faith produces perseverance.”⁸

When you encounter people who will say anything, do anything, and believe anything to sway your thoughts, then you know that you are in the right.

I have been called many names. I have had my family slandered because of *my* personal beliefs. Those who do not agree with my ideas have caricatured me as an “uneducated, white southern redneck” among other falsities. None of these statements is true. I was born in northern Michigan and raised in and around Detroit. I have consistently proven that my intelligence ranks within the highest percentile of the human population. Most importantly, however, I have never wavered within my beliefs. I am targeted simply because I am right.

How do I know that I am correct? Easy. If I were to tell you – average Joe without any political agenda – that I was the richest man on the planet, your action would undoubtedly be one of “That guy is frickin’ out of his mind” and you would go about your life as if I did not exist.” This is how normal people confront others. Either they accept what they say or they dismiss it without further inquiry. Progressive liberals cannot do this, for they are “challenged” by opposing thought because, in more cases than not, this opposite thought sheds light on their weaknesses.

When I state that President Obama’s economic stimulus programs are unsustainable and that nationalized healthcare is a proven failure, the progressives simply cannot dismiss me because the facts are aligned with my argument. If they simply retreat from me, therefore, they run the risk that their agenda will come crashing down all around them as the rest of you calmly analyze my argument and decide for yourselves. Their greatest fear is that you might actually understand something for yourselves without any influence from them. Personally, I could care less whether you

agree with me or not. I have my hands full trying to keep my own life together let alone influencing what others may believe. The progressives cannot accept this option.

When they confront people who are in the right (that being, of the correct view; not particularly from the “right wing” of the political spectrum), they generally react in one of the following ways:

- They dismiss you as an operative of the opposing political party;
- They ridicule your education, faith, or heritage;
- They label you with a stereotype intended to foster ignorance (white southern males, rednecks, Christian fundamentalists, etc.);
- They simply call you “uneducated”.

These are not offhand remarks. Such efforts are widely known within the psychological warfare arena and are used to shatter the reputation of the individual under attack. It takes spontaneity, courage, and a great deal of humor to withstand such an onslaught. Consider the following scenario and an appropriate response to it:

Attack: “”R.J., Obama’s healthcare is great for this nation. Obviously, you’re too damn uneducated to understand this!”

Response: “Well, yeah, you know that I did attend public schools and then a state university. You know unionized labor...”

In the above situation, I would probably follow up by saying that I could not learn until I enrolled within an online university where my professors actually worked within the industry instead of simply taught classes or something to that effect. The key point to remember is that the progressive liberals want to catch you off guard and force you into silence. The best defense is simply to hit back with something that they were not expecting and defuse the situation immediately:

Attack: “Universal healthcare is a great program!”

Response: “I haven’t heard about that. I’m still waiting on the government-run post office to deliver my morning newspaper...”

There are several ways in which to respond to ridicule, but the most effective is to simply accept the charge as if you do not – and should not – care. After all, with all of the world’s problems, isn’t it beneficial to simply laugh at all of our foibles?

Determined resistance.

Once you begin to defeat personal insults (hint: by letting them roll off your sleeve.), you will soon find greater assaults targeted against your sensibilities. These require greater tact, more perseverance, and, above all, more discipline to handle. If you are a typical American, for instance, Figure 1 in the appendix shows what your life is like. Your life is a sphere of concerns surrounded by a multitude of outside influences.

There are the Islamic terrorists who want to destroy you and force their laws upon you. You have your own cultural heritage nagging at your better judgment (e.g., “It is Christian to ‘turn the other cheek.’”). The media, under the guise of profit or motive, assails you with their unique brand of propaganda. Finally, and if that were not enough, our illustrious politicians try to cram their political agendas down your throat. Somewhere in the mix it gets lost that your primary concern is your family and your lifestyle.

The true enemies of these concerns go far beyond simple name-calling; they eagerly seek to disrupt your world and impose their strict doctrine upon you. These fanatics may merely be union organizers trying to tell you how to vote but they might also include the progressive liberals who have long since determined that you are not sufficiently intelligent enough to know what is best for you.

Listen, I just ate a big, juicy steak with a side of Portobello mushrooms and Spanish rice. My thing, sure, but it may not be yours. If you are a strict vegetarian, for instance, then by all means enjoy your choice. I will not stand in your way. I am not like the Greenie Meanies who say that I am somehow destroying the earth’s precious atmosphere by insisting upon the production of cattle. Soon, however, you may find yourself confronted by these types – if you have not already.

They attack you through several methods: “what you eat kills the environment”, “what you wear harms animals”, “what you purchase enslaves workers”, “what you think is old-fashioned”, and so forth. The fact that they do not actually know what you eat, wear, purchase, and think does not matter. They simply target productivity – meaning, success. Their reasoning is simple if not fully tainted. If some people are wealthier than most, then there is an arbitrary “reason” they are so successful. If some people are better looking than most, then there is a “reason” they are so beautiful. If some people are ardent believers in Christianity, for instance, then there too is also some ‘artificial’ reason that people believe these things. The progressive liberals never seem to realize that everything boils down to raw human evolution – what works, lasts; what does not, fails.

Because their hostilities are necessarily slanted, you must resist their efforts with more than just proportionate response. You must also quickly analyze their actions before you can even formulate such a response. This means quickly determining that their hatred of you consuming red meat, for example, has very little to do with health or animal rights. They may be targeting you under the guise of Global Warming (or Climate Change as the planet actually seems to be cooling a la the 1970's mentality).

Yes, you have to be on your toes when confronting radicals of any persuasion. They dwell within the "false flags" world where what they seem to represent is a disguise to sway your judgment. They preach Agenda A when what they really are trying to get you to do is Agenda B. This is precisely why, throughout this entire training program, I have preached that you must *think for yourself*. Do not trust *anyone* – including me. Research, verify, and then make up your own mind. Never permit anyone else to force you into a line of thought or course of action that you feel uncomfortable with.

Let us consider a scenario for analysis (there is no right or wrong answer here, because it is intended for you to exercise your perceptive thoughts). You watch the television news one day and hear the President speak about how deeply he values his Christian heritage and upbringing. Then you witness him avoid speaking at a prominent Christian gathering that *all* other U.S. Presidents had attended. Next, you discover that he has not set foot within a church during, say, the past eighteen months. Finally, you observe your commander-in-chief proudly acknowledge the mores of another religion, one that seems to preoccupy his time more than his "own" faith. What would *your* thoughts be then? Do not expect answers from me; my beliefs are *my* beliefs.

Okay, let us try something a little closer to home. You have a trusted financial advisor who is giving you advice on how to improve your lot within life. You have been afflicted with several job changes lately and so you are hoping to solidify your future. Your "advisor" tells you that the best way that you can meet your goals is to open up several credit accounts and buy your way into luxury. When you question his rationale, he calmly tells you "It's all right; your kids and grandkids will make your payments long after you are gone, so just go ahead and enjoy life." How would *you* react to such a scenario? Again, do not listen to me, think for yourself.

This is the kind of analytical thought that you must embark upon every second of every day of your life. You have to continually ask yourself, "*Does this person speak the truth?*" or "*Do I have all of the facts to make such a judgment?*" or "*Is Agenda A truly what the speaker [writer, producer, etc. as the case may be] wants to promote?*" This is the fundamental rule of Resistance:

Rule Number One: Never let *anything* pass uncontested.

It goes hand in hand with the second rule:

Rule Number Two: Never accept any "fact" without verification.

I would hazard a guess and say that 80-90% of the world's population accept things at their face value. We do so because of any one of the following reasons:

- ✓ We find it impolite to distrust others;
- ✓ We believe that those in positions of power (Politicians, media personalities, educators, clergy, etc.) have been properly vetted;
- ✓ We find it more convenient to believe than to verify;
- ✓ We fear ridicule or confrontation if we suspect.

If you fall for any of the above then you are failing the intent of the *Independent Counterterrorist* training program. No worry, this is precisely why the program is ongoing; it takes concerted effort for things to develop subconsciously. I will always be here to guide you along – but *you* have to research what I tell you through many different avenues. ☺ This, naturally, leads to rule number three:

Rule Number Three: People who profit from your thoughts may not have your best interests at heart.

Whether used car sales people, politicians, Hollywood movie stars, or even yours truly, everyone possesses an ulterior motive for directing your thoughts and actions. As for me, I have spent a great deal of time and effort composing this training program for your benefit. My motive, as I personally see it, is to get the average citizen to accept some measure of responsibility for his or her own safety and survival and to protect this great nation of ours. I believe that our proud men and women within the military, federal agencies, and first responder units will be necessarily limited when we need them the most. I have been ridiculed, threatened, and even ignored but I continue with my mission. Whether I am legit or not, whether I am truthful or not, is up to you to decide. I may be the biggest propagandist on the planet. You decide.

The fundamental concept of resistance is that you must continually evaluate every action and thought as if someone is trying to steer you into an uncomfortable direction. Use me for an example. Suppose, just suppose that I am making millions by authoring this training program. You can verify this by simply using a little deductive

reasoning: “*If this program is making R.J. so much money, then why is his format so damn cheap?*” or “*How is he profiting from this program – there are no advertisements within this package?*” Perhaps you wish to determine my motive. You can ask yourself “*Is what R.J. is telling me harmful to my thoughts and actions?*” or “*Have I personally benefitted from any of the material discussed within these modules?*”

The truth is, as a conscientious writer and author, I engage within a bit of “Counter-Resistance”. That is, I try to use references whenever I can so that the more studious amongst you can verify the sources that I use to illustrate my points. Herein, we have another element of resistance to consider: references. Not very long ago, I received an email from a “literary agent” who wanted to represent my work. Fine, I could always use a reputable agent – I have had my fair share of quacks in the past.

However, I was not actively seeking a literary agent at that time; I was merely scoping out frivolous agencies and so had inquired about this particular firm to see if their claims held water so to speak. Well, long story short, they sent me a rather detailed email outlining their clientele, their publishing history, and even copies of interviews intended to highlight their success. Problem.

The “authors” actually owned part of the agency, the publishing history was exclusively from print-on-demand vanity presses, and columnists also employed by the agent, well, conducted the interviews. It was one very *LARGE* pattern of deception intended to dupe wannabe authors into contracting for their expensive editing services. It reminded me of a scene in the 1994 movie *Clear and Present Danger* based upon the Tom Clancy novel:

[*Cortez realizes his betrayal has been exposed*]

Felix Cortez: [*referring to Jack Ryan*] Whatever this man has told you is a lie. He LIES for a living!

Ernesto Escobedo: He is in the Intelligence business.

Felix Cortez: Exactly.

Ernesto Escobedo: YOU'RE in the Intelligence business!

[*Escobedo plays back Cortez's conversation with Cutter*]

The aforementioned “literary agent” could not understand that someone within the fiction field (especially one that knew a thing or two about how deception works ☺) might be able to decipher through carefully packaged pretense. So *always* scrutinize everything that might cause you some headaches. In another example, we need to address that “If it sounds too good to be true, then it is” parable.

Back, when I worked for an envelope manufacturer, one of our largest customers was Publishers' Clearinghouse. You guessed it – the “You may already have won \$10,000,000!” people. During one week, we ran six million envelopes (300,000 during one shift on my machine alone) that said, “You are one of *three* finalists!” If there were only *three* finalists for the drawing, why did they need to purchase *millions* of envelopes? I have a term for dealing with these situations.

Suspicion management.

Rule Number Four: Suspicion is a tool, not a weapon.

Blind faith is not very productive. I have been a proud Roman Catholic, for instance, since my very birth. However, my faith is still based upon comparing life experiences against Church dogma and I have personally found the two inseparable. Like the compass of a needle, I kept coming back to my one true heading. Unfortunately, some people are not able to remain true to their course. They meander around constantly seeking new directions, motives, and destinations. I do not find fault with these people, I merely suspect that at any given moment, their beliefs and actions may not be genuine (within the context of the broader picture).

Let's use our current president for illustration (public figures are fair game! ☺). He is known to have been a member of Reverend Jeremiah Wright's congregation for twenty years, but he claims to have never heard a foul remark from the minister. Okay, I will take the President's word for it. No problem. I am just deeply suspicious that anyone would visit a place for twenty years and not pay enough attention to what was said or not. At best, this seems to suggest that our president is an airhead.

We can apply this same problem to the dozens of “czars” that guide our nation's commander-in-chief. They come from the ranks of known terrorists, anarchists, communists, environmentalists (using the less than decent definition of the term), socialists, and other malcontents. This leads to two very disturbing thoughts. Either, the president has surrounded himself with a bunch of radicals who mirror his policies and beliefs; or that the president is seeking advice from people who have not been true to themselves. Think about it. In the case of Van Jones (communist, anarchist, environmentalist, etc.), everyone has said that he had changed since his pre-Administration revolutionary days. Oh, really. We elect the first black man to the Oval Office and all of a sudden this hard-core anti-American has a Pauline conversion?

I do not know about you, but I want my commander in chief to be surrounded by people who are lifelong proponents of their beliefs. Recent converts lead to suspicion

and, well, here we have the topic of this section, don't we? Sometimes, however, suspicion can be damaging. Many a beautiful marriage had been destroyed because one party or the other had taken things completely out of context. We all leap to conclusions from time to time, but we need to condition ourselves into using suspicion as a tool of survival than a weapon against civilization.

If you were to observe the Pope on television, for instance, speak about the fundamental tenets of Catholicism, you would probably not think twice about it. You should have, however, spent a cautious moment or two to conclude that it was, in fact, His Holiness speaking and that the video was not a television serial or motion picture, etc. In future modules, we will discuss how people can be manipulated into believing anything, but for now, your casual observation served its purpose. The Pope is the head of the Catholic Church and what he says in relationship to Church teaching goes.

Now, consider House Speaker Nancy Pelosi who recently implied that the Church was uncertain as to when human life actually began and that "life from conception" was a relatively modern theory. Just about every studious Roman Catholic knows that the Church has declared abortion an abomination from the first century onwards.⁹ One therefore has to conclude that Nancy Pelosi is very wrong about her understanding of her own Catholic faith and this, again, leads to two very disturbing consequences. Either Ms. Pelosi understands very little about what she involved her entire life within or that she possesses some ulterior motive for consciously transposing her own thoughts upon two thousand years of established Church history. Either one demands much suspicion from our part.

It is a progressive thing (not to be confused with Progressive Liberalism) this act of suspicion. Once you catch a leader within a lie or act of deceit, it labels their subsequent actions as probably devious as well. Should that occurrence prove to be damaging also, then the suspicion builds until it can no longer be contained and their actions cannot be tolerated. You must exercise extreme caution that your deeply held suspicions do not therefore turn into full-blown revolutionary thought. Such actions would then make *you* the object of suspicion from others.

The practice of common sense will go a long ways towards keeping you safe and free from retaliation (of the legal kind). For example, when you see something advertised on television as "Second one free! *Just pay shipping and processing fees*" then you know that non-action is the best route to take. You understand that the manufacturer is simply adding the price of the second product into the "processing" fee and unless you really want their widget, you are being lied to. Resistance is therefore straight forward – you simply do nothing.

If, on the other hand, you were to observe your neighbor taking shots at an Obama effigy, then, well, doing nothing might lead to a whole world of problems not

only for yourself but for your country as well. Suspicious activity is just that, suspicious in nature. Something where the little voice in the back of your mind keeps reminding you that “something just ain't frickin' right”. Like most issues, the progressive liberals misuse the function of suspicion and everything that is done against their desires is deemed suspicious. Don't *you* fall for the trap.

You can analyze suspicious behavior by determining whether it would be deemed suspicious from an unbiased perspective. Dying your hair pink might not be considered suspicious. Dying your *wig* pink might be. Driving your new Chevy Camaro down the highway with a big, silly ass grin on your face may not be suspicious (unless you work for the federal government at entry-level wages). Driving your new car down the highway going the wrong way and changing lanes by the second is suspicious.

Within the context of “resistance”, do not include religion, science, or politics into your plan of action because these will simply taint your credibility. When you protest against nationalized healthcare, for instance, you defeat your purpose by using the Democrat versus Republic platform. Nationalized healthcare is simply anti-American/anti-Free Market and most reasonable citizens would agree with you on that basis alone. This leads us to “how” resistance should be employed.

Fighting for Our Nation's Future

Standing firm.

“Above all, we must realize that no arsenal, or no weapon in the arsenals of the world, is so formidable as the will and moral courage of free men and women. It is a weapon our adversaries in today's world do not have.” [Ronald Reagan](#)

Since January, our nation has undergone a transformation of such magnitude that would have been the envy of Napoleon, Hitler, Stalin, Khrushchev, Khomeini, Castro, Guevara, and the whole kit and caboodle of Islamist radicals faced against us. Not only has the Obama Administration irreparably damaged our free market economy and bankrupted our national savings for decades to come, but it has also given aid and comfort to our enemies by glorifying the Islamic radicals and demonizing those arrayed against them. This has to stop.

Defeating the Obama Administration has very little to do with politics and much more to do with national security and prosperity. The President – *any* President – must be held accountable for his or her actions just like the rest of us. They are not above the law and they are not beyond Americana. With our nation at war, it is treasonous to believe that equating our enemy with garden-variety criminals will somehow improve our chances of victory. Only through unconditional surrender (in this case, through the extermination of our enemy) can peace be secured as it always had been. The forces arrayed against these terrorists must be given unconditional support from both our population and its government.

Your options are necessarily limited. You cannot embark upon a witch-hunt and march on Washington with pitchforks and torches. Any resemblance to a lynch mob would provide plenty of ammunition for the progressive liberals to, yet again, recline back upon the race card. You, however, are better than that. You understand the actions of our president speak volumes more than his mere skin color. Yet, march you must.

We need to march upon Washington and the state capitals by the hundreds of thousands. We need to demand that America's interests come before any one political party. A president that refuses to uphold our values, morals, and expectations in exchange for temporal political gain does not deserve the honor and respect that goes with the office.

We need to exercise our First Amendment rights and release a unanimous "Shout heard around the world" that will quake the Capitol to its very foundation. When a recent poll suggests that Americans would rather staff Congress with names randomly chosen from the telephone book, then why do we insist on keeping the current crowd in office? We possess the power to refuse to reelect *anyone* who currently occupies the Capitol and we must do so. Let the world know that we are not afraid of change anymore than we are afraid of traitors. America transcends our hopeful dreams for without freedom, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness, all that remains is nightmares and that is the bleak future that we are seeing.

Taking action.

Long gone is the day when ordinary Americans can just sit back on the sofa and grumble quietly about the jerks in Washington. Those jerks have been replaced by communist and socialist operatives. Empowered by the unions, financed by the progressives, and managed by the elitists, a Golem of sorts has risen upon the banks of the Potomac. It is feasting upon our flag, our Constitution, and our resources. It has consumed our largest industries, chiseled away at our defenses, and silenced opposition.

Until now. God has granted us powers that can turn Golems into Playdough®. We only need to possess faith – something the progressive liberals have been adamant in denying us.

“For everyone who asks, receives; and the one who seeks, finds; and the one who knocks, the door will be opened.”¹⁰

There are more people who share your views than are set to dismantle our country. Therefore, rise up and let your voice be heard. Do not shy away from appearing in town halls, on television, or voicing your opinion through your local newspaper. When you protest against the intrusion of government, do not carry signs that look as if you had spent a week's salary having them printed – the union thugs do as much. Take the time and effort to write your own message upon a buck piece of poster board. It is not what your message is written upon that counts, but the level of spirit within your heart that carries your voice aloft.

The brave souls that formed this great nation so that you might experience the freedoms that you have heretofore taken for granted possessed little more courage than you do. What made them great is that they knew that potential meant very little if it was not released. Where would America be today if these farmers, merchants, scholars, and soldiers had not said “Enough!” and challenged King George without fear for the future? Yes, they were weak. Yes, they were scared. Yes, they were uncertain. America exists, however, because they were not quitters.

About the author.

R.J. Godlewski is a creative security consultant, director of the International Nuclear Emergency Response Team [INERT], a student of Middle Eastern Terrorism/Explosive Ordnance Disposal Operations, and an honorably discharged veteran of the U.S. Navy and U.S. Navy Reserve. He is a member of the National Military Intelligence Association and a member of the Golden Key International Honour Society. He has written several books, journal articles, and Internet-based commentaries.

Notes

¹ Kreeft, Peter. 1990. *Everything that you ever wanted to know about Heaven, but never dreamed of asking*, Ignatius. Pg. 29.

² This encounter launched Samuel "Joe the Plumber" Wurzelbacher to fame, proving beyond a reasonable doubt that ordinary Americans can impact political thought.

³ Balor, Paul. 1988. *Manual of the Mercenary Soldier: A Guide to Mercenary War, Money, and Adventure*, Paladin Press. Pgs. 233-234.

⁴ Ibid. Pg. 234.

⁵ The Grosset Webster Dictionary, 1966

⁶ While living within Arkansas, I visited the Pine Bluff K-Mart during just such a sale and the event reminded me of an urban riot. Nothing was left of value and the remaining shoppers were literally fighting one another for the store shelves that laid strewn upon the floor.

⁷ Heiden, Konrad. 1944. *Der Fuehrer*. Houghton Mifflin. Pgs. 136-137.

⁸ Jas 1:2-3, NAB

⁹ *Catechism of the Catholic Church*, #2271.

¹⁰ Mt 7:8 NAB

APPENDIX

